

Budget Review Checklist

developed by the OSPA Roundtable Group

Recommend the Following:

- 1) Sponsor guidelines and Program Announcement should be reviewed and followed
- 2) Follow OMB Circular A-21 for all Federal proposals
- 3) Follow University Policy for all proposals (referenced in table and links below)
- 4) When a discrepancy occurs between 1-3 above, the more restrictive rule will apply

For further guidance please refer to:

Building a Budget (includes links to more info for each category)

<http://www.ospa.iastate.edu/Links/BuildingBudget.aspx>

Tips for writing a budget justification

<http://www.ospa.iastate.edu/GrantCoord/docs/BudgetJustificationTips.pdf>

ISU Sponsored Programs Costing Policy

<http://www.ospa.iastate.edu/Policies/docs/CostingPolicy.pdf>

Budget Items for Review	Explanation/Verification for Costs	Responsible Parties - - an X in the column indicates which reviewers are responsible for creating the budget and/or verifying the accuracy and allowability of the cost			
For each category of funding requested, the budget justification should explain the purpose for the cost and not contradict the amount requested.		Dept/Center/ Inst -- PI/Grant Coordinator -- Budget development (accurate and allowable)	Admin Unit -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	VPR -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	OSPA -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet
Typical Direct Costs					
Salaries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommend a 3% escalation in salaries, will allow 0% - 4% (except Grads and Post Doc, see university policy). For NIH do not exceed 3% in all categories. • Verify Salary and % of Effort shown • Verify correct position is used 	X	X		
Fringe Benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify correct FB rates are used. To verify see: http://www.ospa.iastate.edu/Policies/FringeBenefits.aspx 				

Budget Review Checklist

developed by the OSPA Roundtable Group

Budget Items for Review	Explanation/Verification for Costs	Responsible Parties - - an X in the column indicates which reviewers are responsible for creating the budget and/or verifying the accuracy and allowability of the cost			
<p>For each category of funding requested, the budget justification should explain the purpose for the cost and not contradict the amount requested.</p>		Dept/Center/ Inst --	Admin Unit --	VPR --	OSPA --
		PI/Grant Coordinator -- Budget development (accurate and allowable)	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet
Fringe Benefits (cont)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If actual FB rates are higher, actual can be used; an employee must have been employed for 4-6 months. Anything less is not representative of true costs. If actual rates are used, documentation must be attached to the GoldSheet. Subject to College Approval. 	X	X		
Equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Follow University Policy:</u> http://www.ospa.iastate.edu/News/docs/Equipment Definition.pdf • Provide itemized equipment list, including cost and justification of need for each item • If fabrication of equipment proposed, clearly state as such 	X			X
Travel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specify Domestic vs. International Travel; indicating foreign destination and event if known • Travel is usually allowed if directly benefits the project 	X	X		
Participant Support Costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review sponsor guidelines • Typically only on NSF proposals • Verify costs fit sponsor definition 	X			X
Materials & Supplies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify all costs are allowable • M&S should not include service fee costs - these costs belong under other direct costs 	X	X		
Other Direct					
Publication costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify costs are reasonable to project 	X	X		
Consultants (non ISU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review sponsor guidelines for documentation needed 				

Budget Review Checklist

developed by the OSPA Roundtable Group

Budget Items for Review	Explanation/Verification for Costs	Responsible Parties - - an X in the column indicates which reviewers are responsible for creating the budget and/or verifying the accuracy and allowability of the cost			
<p>For each category of funding requested, the budget justification should explain the purpose for the cost and not contradict the amount requested.</p>		Dept/Center/ Inst --	Admin Unit --	VPR --	OSPA --
		PI/Grant Coordinator -- Budget development (accurate and allowable)	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet
Consultants (non ISU) (cont.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify cost does not exceed consultant limits, as provided by sponsor • Verify correct instrument is used (PSA vs. Subcontract) • Document if there is a conflict of interest 	X			X
Computer Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs are allowable only if they relate directly to the project and can be specifically identified 	X	X		
Postage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs are allowable only if they relate directly to the project and can be specifically identified 	X	X		
Copying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs are allowable only if they relate directly to the project and can be specifically identified 	X	X		
Phone	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normally long distance charges only 	X	X		
Subcontracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to provide letter of commitment (signed by authorized official), budget and budget justification • Review sponsor guidelines for justification required • Subcontractor costs should be listed separately in justification 	X			X
Tuition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify amount meets Graduate College requirement • Verify percentage of cost corresponds to percentage of salary requested • Verify cost is allowable by sponsor 	X	X		
Patient Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These costs associated with NIH funded projects 	X			X

Budget Review Checklist

developed by the OSPA Roundtable Group

Budget Items for Review	Explanation/Verification for Costs	Responsible Parties - - an X in the column indicates which reviewers are responsible for creating the budget and/or verifying the accuracy and allowability of the cost			
		Dept/Center/ Inst -- PI/Grant Coordinator -- Budget development (accurate and allowable)	Admin Unit -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	VPR -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet	OSPA -- Verify with signature/ approval of GoldSheet
For each category of funding requested, the budget justification should explain the purpose for the cost and not contradict the amount requested.					
Alterations/ Renovations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter of support from Department, College and/or Facilities, Planning & Management must be attached to GoldSheet 	X	X		
Rent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rent of project-specific off-site space (not meeting rooms) 	X			X
Indirect Costs					
Facilities & Administrative Costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verify appropriate rate is used Verify rate is calculated correctly Documentation is required if less than full (47%) rate is used If 51% or more of the project will be conducted on non-ISU owned or non-ISU rented space, please document and use the 26% off-campus rate 	X	X		X
Cost Share					
Cost Share / Matching	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If Cost Sharing is required, documentation must be attached Recommend using: Salaries, Fringe Benefits and associated F&A, tuition, and unallowable F&A – each where allowable Must clearly identify source/provider (in justification and on GoldSheet) For Central Match, completed, approved form must be attached 	X	X	X	
Final Checks					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verify Budget Adds Correctly Verify Budget and Budget Justification Match 	X			X